

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [DBTeessideAB](mailto:DBTeessideAB)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** TWT response to NMC Sophia offshore wind farm  
**Date:** 02 July 2020 19:21:54  
**Attachments:** [REDACTED]

---

Dear Sir/Madam

Please find attached a response from The Wildlife Trusts on the Non-Material Change request for Sophia Offshore Wind Farm.

Kind regards

Tania

**Tania Davey**  
Marine Planning Officer  
The Wildlife Trusts  
Tel: 01507 528388  
Mob: [REDACTED]  
Banovallum House  
Manor House Street  
Horncastle  
Lincolnshire  
LN9 5HF

*Please note, my working days are Monday to Thursday.*



Stay in touch with The Wildlife Trusts across the UK. Find us on [our website](#), [Twitter](#), [Facebook](#), and [Instagram](#)

Royal Society of Wildlife Trusts, The Kiln, Waterside, Mather Road, Newark, Nottinghamshire NG24 1WT. Registered Charity Number 207238



Gareth Leigh  
Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy  
1 Victoria Street  
London  
SW1H 0ET

BY EMAIL

1 July 2020

**The Wildlife Trusts**

*The Kiln  
Waterside  
Mather Road  
Newark  
Nottinghamshire  
NG24 1WT  
Tel (01636) 677711  
Fax (01636) 670001  
Email  
info@wildlifetrusts.org*

*Website  
www.wildlifetrusts.org*

Dear Gareth

**Non-material Change Application for an increase in hammer energy for Sophia Offshore Wind Farm**

The Wildlife Trusts (TWT) welcomes the opportunity to comment on the Non-Material Change Application for an increase in hammer energy to 4000kJ.

TWT's position has not changed since the last Non-material Change Application for an increase in hammer energy for Sophia Offshore Wind Farm. Although we welcome that further information has been provided by the applicant to inform a Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) for the Southern North Sea SAC, this has not been undertaken using current SNCB advice<sup>1</sup> to assess underwater noise disturbance impacts. This assessment must be undertaken before a decision on the Non-Material Change can be made, whether through the Review of Consents or a separate HRA. We have outlined our views in further detail below.

**1. Further information is required to inform the HRA for the Southern North Sea SAC**

TWT welcomes the further information provided in the main report (document 003230484-02) and Appendix E (document 003457026-02). However, we highlight that SNCB guidance has not been used to determine underwater noise disturbance impacts on the Southern North Sea SAC. Whilst we appreciate that the assessment has considered at 26km impact radius, no information is provided on the impact against the daily and seasonal thresholds. This assessment must be undertaken to determine both alone and in-combination disturbance impacts in order to identify mitigation and monitoring requirements, which must be secured as a condition.

**2. It is not appropriate to consider the outcomes of the draft HRA as part of Review of Consents**

The further information provided by the applicant has referred to the draft HRA as part of the Review of Consents for the Southern North Sea SAC. The draft HRA should not be considered as part of this non-material change application. TWT did not agree to the approach used to undertake the in-combination assessment and therefore do not agree with the outcomes of the draft assessment.

<sup>1</sup> [Guidance on noise management in harbour porpoise SACs 2020](#)

*Patron  
HRH The Prince of Wales  
KG KT GCB OM  
President  
Tony Juniper CBE*

*Royal Society of Wildlife Trusts  
Registered Charity no. 207238  
Printed on environmentally  
friendly paper*

**3. The Review of Consents must be published or a new HRA must be undertaken before a decision can be made**

Whilst we appreciate that work is underway to complete the Review of Consents for the Southern North Sea SAC, it would not be appropriate to determine this application without assessing underwater noise disturbance impacts using current SNCB advice through a detailed HRA. Therefore, this application must be paused until the Review of Consents is complete, or a new HRA must be undertaken.


**4. Mitigation and monitoring for disturbance impacts in the Southern North Sea must be included as a condition for this project.**

Our experience is that, due to in-combination disturbance impacts from offshore wind construction and other activities such as UXO clearance and seismic survey, mitigation will be required to ensure no adverse effect on the Southern North Sea SAC. Whilst we appreciate that the Sophia Offshore Wind Farm is included in the Review of Consents, the condition in relation to the Southern North Sea SAC must be secured for this Non-Material Change application.

We highlight that current monitoring in the Southern North Sea SAC is inadequate to determine if mitigation is fit for purpose. Therefore, monitoring must be included as part of a new condition. Guidance clearly states that for Competent Authorities to decide if mitigation is sufficient, monitoring should be put in place to understand the effectiveness of mitigation measures and put corrective measures in place if necessary<sup>2</sup>. This is a gap in the draft Marine Licence condition which the MMO consulted upon in January 2019.

Thank you for considering our response. We are happy to provide further detail if required.

Yours sincerely



Dr Lissa Batey  
Head of Marine Conservation  
The Wildlife Trusts

---

<sup>2</sup> [Page 52. Commission notice "Managing Natura 2000 sites The provisions of Article 6 of the 'Habitats' Directive 92/43/EEC](#)